## **Examination of Leeds City Council Core Strategy**

Mr S Speak
Deputy Chief Planning Officer
Inspector: Anthony Thickett BA(Hons) BTP
MRTPI Dip RSA

Deputy Chief Planning Officer Leeds City Council City Development The Leonardo Building 2 Rossington Street

2 Rossington Street
LEEDS
LS2 8HD
By email only

Programme Officer: Helen Wilson Tel: 01527 65741

E mail: progofficer@aol.com

Date 4 February 2014

Dear Mr Speak,

Thank you for your letter of 3 February.

My apologies for not being clear with regard to the revision to Policy H7 regarding travelling showpeople. Although I am content with the evidence, I consider that the all the proposed modifications to the policy should be subject to the separate consultation exercise.

## Queries relating to the content of the Main Modifications

For ease of reference I have copied your questions in full, with my response in italics.

IMM1 after 'Main Urban Area' I assume 'with' should be struck through as deleted. *Agreed, schedule amended accordingly.* 

IMM1 should it say 'unchanged' after (ix). Agreed, schedule amended accordingly.

MM4 as the range of housing to be provided has been removed then 'between' and 'and' should be deleted. *Agreed, schedule amended accordingly.* 

MM8 in (ix) the word 'links' should be underlined as new text. 'Links' is in the pre submission changes so is not new text.

MM21 The Councils modification MM12 included an incorrect table at para 5.2.46. The first two rows referring to "small" developments have been superseded by the new "small" on row 3 and should therefore be deleted. *Noted, schedule amended.* 

MM22 The Councils modification includes two paras numbered 5.4.26. The modification relates to text that should be re-numbered 5.4.27. *Noted, schedule amended.* 

- MM24 for clarity, after the words 'Exceptions would apply where' it is suggested adding 'either (iii) or (iv) below are applicable'. *Agreed, schedule amended accordingly.*
- MM25 it is assumed that 'Spatial Policy 8 and 9' should read 'Spatial Policy 9' and that '8' should therefore be shown deleted. Spatial Policy 8(iii) refers to job retention as well as creation but the Council has my permission to delete reference to Spatial Policy 8 from this modification if it wishes.
- MM25 the last sentence in 5.2.57 repeats the last sentence in 5.2.56 and it would seem that the whole of 5.2.57 can therefore be deleted. *Agreed, schedule amended accordingly.*
- MM28 part B has a typo, it should read 'No change'. *Agreed, schedule amended accordingly.*
- MM28 in the third row of the table '0-' should be deleted and it should simply be '1,500+. *Noted, schedule amended accordingly.*
- MM31 after the new text 'response which copies the host' should presumably be shown struck through. *Agreed, schedule amended accordingly.*
- MM32 'The Council maintains' is shown deleted in the Council's proposed wording. However, on re-reading this does not make sense if it is deleted and it is therefore suggested that the wording be retained. *Agreed, schedule amended accordingly.*

In addition it is noted that you have not included the changes to paragraph 5.2.41, included in the Council's MM12 proposed deletion of the percentages in the interests of clarity. *Noted, schedule amended.* 

As to when my letter (now letters) and the schedule should be put on the web site, the fact that I would provide you with some main modifications by 31 January is public knowledge and Mrs Wilson has received a number of queries. I understand that Mrs Wilson has discussed this with Mr Bodiyat. In light of the public interest, I consider that the schedule should be published but with a statement to the effect that they are not yet subject to consultation and that I will not accept any submissions on them until they are subject to formal consultation. It would be helpful if you could indicate when that is likely to be.

Yours faithfully A Thickett Inspector